

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,821	02/20/2002	Troy Stockstad	130349	3776
75	590 03/21/2003			
George C. Chen			EXAMINER	
Bryan Cave LLP			LE, DON P	
Suite 2200			EL, D	J11 1
Two North Central Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Phoenix, AZ 8	35004-4406			
			2819	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ž.			
- ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/081,821	STOCKSTAD, TROY				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
_		Don P Le	2819				
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sh	t with the correspond nce addre	:ss			
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimun rill apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to becomes	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. NONTHS from the mailing date of this commone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 F	ebruary 2002 .					
2a) □	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on-of-Claims						
•	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideratio	1.				
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requiremen	I.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	t.					
	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep		by the Examiner.				
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•				
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* S	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ol>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	ige			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a)	The translation of the foreign language productions.	visional application h	as been received.				
Attachment			30 122 dilator (2).				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 er:				

Art Unit: 2819

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground-provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-30 and 33-36 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-34 of U. S. Patent No. 6,429,685 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a circuit comprises a first three terminal device, a second three terminal device and a reference current.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,821 Page 3

Art Unit: 2819

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Horowitz et al. (US 5,254,883).
- 5. With respect to claim 31, figures 1-8 of Horowitz disclose an integrated circuit comprising a voltage-mode driver circuit having an integral, analog on-chip termination.
- 6. With respect to claim 32, figures 1-8 of Horowitz disclose the voltage-mode driver circuit has substantially constant output impedance within an operating range of an output voltage of the voltage-mode driver circuit.

## Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Don P Le whose telephone number is 703-308-4890. The examiner can normally be reached on 7AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J Tokar can be reached on 703-305-3493. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

• '

Art Unit: 2819

assigned are 703-308-7724 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

March 20, 2003

Don Le Primary examiner